

PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION FOR THE Ph.D. DEGREE

Directions: Answer all questions. Feel free to impose additional structure on the problems below, but please state your assumptions clearly. Point totals for each question are given in parentheses.

- (10) In Mehra and Prescott's analysis of the equity premium, they employed a discrete time asset pricing model in which the exogenous process for consumption growth was assumed to be a two-state Markov process. Denote the two growth rates as $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ and define the transition probabilities as $\pi_{ij} = \Pr(\lambda_{t+1} = \lambda_j | \lambda_t = \lambda_i)$ where $(i, j) = (1, 2)$. Let β denote the representative agent's subjective discount factor and γ denote the agent's relative risk aversion parameter (assumed to be constant). In order for the equilibrium price function to exist, Mehra and Prescott assumed that $\beta\pi_{ij}\lambda_j^{1-\gamma} < 1$ for all (i, j) . (Actually, they made a slightly weaker assumption but let's use the stronger form presented here.) Why was this assumption useful in establishing equilibrium? Be precise in your answer.
- (20) Consider a continuous-time optimal growth model in which the aggregate production function is of the form: $Y(t) = K(t)^\alpha (A(t)L(t))^{1-\alpha}$ where Y denotes output, K is the capital stock, L is labor and A is labor-augmenting technology. Assume that L and A grow exogenously at the rates n and a respectively. Capital depreciates at the rate δ . The representative household in the economy has lifetime preferences given by:

$$\int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} \left[\frac{\tilde{C}(t)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \right] L(t)$$

where $\rho \in (0, 1)$, $\gamma > 0$ and $\gamma \neq 1$, and $\tilde{C}(t)$ is per-capita consumption. In addition to households, a government exists which purchases $G(t)$ units of output. This amount is growing at the rate $n+a$ (i.e. the growth rate of government purchases is equal to the sum of the population growth rate and the growth rate of technology). Government purchases are financed via lump-sum taxes on households. Given this environment, do the following

- Solve the model as a social planner problem. Write down the associated present-value Hamiltonian and derive the necessary conditions.
 - Define a steady-state equilibrium and derive the phase diagram associated with this economy.
 - Suppose that, in period t_k the level of government purchases jumps unexpectedly to $G'(t_k) > G(t_k)$. This has no effect on the growth rate of government purchases. Describe the effect that this has on equilibrium (steady-state and any transition to a new steady-state) and use the phase diagram developed in (b) to support your analysis.
 - Suppose now that, in period t_m , the capital depreciation rate falls to $\delta' < \delta$. Again analyze the effects that this has on equilibrium (both transition and steady-state) using the phase diagram for this economy. Discuss the differences between your answers for (c) and (d).
 - Show that, in steady-state equilibrium, this model replicates all of Kaldor's stylized facts of growth.
- (20) Consider a Lucas-tree type economy in which the level of the endowment is independently and identically distributed with support $x_t \in (x', x'')$. Agents trade one- and two-period bonds that have prices p_{1t} and p_{2t} , respectively, and return 1 unit of consumption at maturity. In addition, agents can purchase a one-period futures contract for the price f_{1t} . A futures contract purchased at time t states that the owner agrees to give up f_{1t} units of consumption in period $t+1$ for the return of 1 unit of consumption in period $t+2$. Given this setup, do the following:

- (a) Assuming standard preferences (i.e. infinitely lived, risk-averse agents), set up the household's maximization problem as a dynamic programming problem. Derive and interpret the associated necessary conditions.
 - (b) Define a recursive competitive equilibrium in this economy.
 - (c) Characterize the equilibrium behavior of bond prices and the price of the future contract. Derive an exact relationship between these prices; interpret this relationship.
 - (d) Is it the case that $f_{1t} = E_t [p_{1t+1}]$? Or, is the price of the futures contract in period t an unbiased estimator of the price of a one-period bond in period $t + 1$? Explain.
4. (20) Consider the standard growth model in discrete time. There is a large number of identical households (normalized to 1). Each household wants to maximize life-time discounted utility

$$U(\{c_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t), \quad \beta \in (0, 1).$$

Each household has an initial capital stock x_0 at time 0, and one unit of productive time in each period, that can be devoted to work. Final output is produced using capital and labor services,

$$y_t = F(k_t, n_t),$$

where F is a CRS production function. This technology is owned by firms whose number will be determined in equilibrium. Output can be consumed (c_t) or invested (i_t). We assume that households own the capital stock (so they make the investment decision) and rent out capital services to the firms. The depreciation rate of the capital stock (x_t) is denoted by $\delta \in [0, 1]$.¹ Finally, we assume that households own the firms, i.e. they are claimants to the firms' profits. The functions u and F have the usual nice properties.²

- a) First consider an Arrow-Debreu world. Describe the households' and firms' problems and carefully define an AD equilibrium. How many firms operate in this equilibrium?
- b) Write down the problem of the household recursively.³ Be sure to carefully define the state variables and distinguish between aggregate and individual states. Define a recursive competitive equilibrium (RCE).

For the rest of this question focus again on an Arrow-Debreu setting.

- c) In this economy, why is it a good idea to describe the AD equilibrium capital stock allocation by solving the (easier) Social Planner's Problem?

From now on assume that $F(k_t, n_t) = k_t^a n_t^{1-a}$, $a \in (0, 1)$, and $\delta = 1$. Also, assume that the households' preferences are characterized by "habit persistence". In particular, households wish to maximize

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t (\ln c_t + \gamma \ln c_{t-1}), \quad \gamma > 0.$$

- d) Fully characterize (i.e. find a closed form solution for) the equilibrium allocation of the capital stock. (Hint: Derive the Euler equation using any method you like. Then guess and verify a "policy rule" of the form $k_{t+1} = gk_t^a$, where g is an unknown to be determined.)
- e) What is the capital stock equal to as $t \rightarrow \infty$? What is the ADE value of the rental rate of capital as $t \rightarrow \infty$?
- f) What is the ADE price of the consumption good in $t = 1$?

¹ The capital stock depreciates no matter whether it is rented out to a firm or not.

² You will not explicitly need them, so there is no need to be more precise.

³ Here firms face a static problem. I am not asking you to explicitly spell it out, but it will be critical for a correct definition of the RCE.

5. (20) Consider the Mortensen-Pissarides model with endogenous job destruction. Labor force is normalized to 1. The matching function $m(u, v) = u^a v^{1-a}$ brings together unemployed workers and vacant firms. A large measure of firms decide whether to enter the labor market with exactly one vacancy. When a firm meets an unemployed worker a job is formed. The output of a job is px per unit of time, where p is the general productivity and x the idiosyncratic. When a vacancy is unfilled, firms have to pay a search cost, given by pc per unit of time.

The parameter p is constant, but x is not. Every new job is created at $x = 1$, which will be the maximum possible value of x . However, there exists an exogenous Poisson rate λ , which can “hit” every existing match. If this happens, the new idiosyncratic productivity of that match will be a random draw from a uniform distribution with support in the interval $[0, 1]$. Once such a shock arrives, the worker and the firm can either terminate the match or renegotiate a wage, which will be specific to the new value of x . All job negotiations are based on Nash bargaining, where β represents the worker’s bargaining power. Whenever a worker is in the state of unemployment, she enjoys a benefit of $z < p$ per unit of time. Focus on steady state equilibria of this model. Let the discount rate of agents be given by r .

- a) What is the arrival rate of workers to vacant firms as a function of market tightness, $\theta = v/u$? What is the arrival rate of jobs to unemployed workers?
- b) Write down the value functions for a filled job and a vacancy. Assume that, after a productivity shock has hit, firms terminate the match if and only if $x \leq R$. Refer to R as the reservation idiosyncratic productivity.
- c) Write down the value functions of an unemployed and an employed worker. Make sure your answers are in accordance with the assumption in part (b).
- d) What is the value of a filled job when we evaluate it at $x = 1$ and $x = R$?
- e) Take the following result as given: since the firm and worker can always negotiate a new wage after a productivity shock has hit, the wage paid to a worker who is employed by a firm with idiosyncratic productivity x , is given by $w(x) = (1 - \beta)z + \beta p(x + c\theta)$. Refer to this equation as the wage curve (WC). Explain the WC intuitively.
- f) Use the WC, and parts (b) and (d) in order to provide a closed form solution for the value function of a filled job.
- g) Use your answer in part (f) in order to derive the job creation (JC) curve for this labor market. Plot the JC curve in a graph with θ on the horizontal and R on the vertical axis and explain its slope, both algebraically and intuitively.
- h) Derive the job destruction (JD) curve for this labor market. Plot the JD curve in a graph with θ on the horizontal and R on the vertical axis and explain its slope, both algebraically and intuitively.
- i) Use your answers in (g) and (h) in order to claim that there exists a unique equilibrium pair (θ^*, R^*) . Finally, close the model by describing equilibrium unemployment.

6. (10) Consider the “cash-goods vs credit goods” model discussed in class. The representative agent maximizes

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_{1t}, c_{2t}).$$

Assume that $u_1, u_2 > 0$, $u_{11}, u_{22} < 0$, $\lim_{c_1 \rightarrow 0} u_1(c_1, c_2) = +\infty$, $\lim_{c_2 \rightarrow 0} u_2(c_1, c_2) = +\infty$. There is no production. Every period the representative agent has a constant endowment given by e , and we assume that there is a linear technology for converting the endowment good into either of the consumption goods, $e = c_{1t} + c_{2t}$. What makes things interesting is that the consumption of the first good should be financed out of cash on hand, i.e. c_1 is subject to a Cash-in-Advance constraint.

Now imagine that in this economy there are two types of money, red (R) and blue (B). These assets are perfect substitutes, i.e. the agents can finance c_1 using any combination of the two types of money. The supply of money of type $i = B, R$ in period t is $\overline{M}_{i,t}$. The supply of each money is controlled by an individual monetary authority. M_{it} evolves according to $M_{i,t+1} = (1 + \mu_i)M_{i,t}$. The new money (of both types) is injected into the economy through lump-sum transfers. Throughout this question focus on steady states.

- Write down the problem of the representative agent (including the CIA constraint) recursively. (Hint: It will be useful to write the budget and CIA constraints in real terms).
- For any given μ_R , what should the value of μ_B be so that agents can have a positive demand for blue money? For full credit make your statement formal by deriving the demand for money. Partial credit will be given for correct intuition.
- Now assume that in the beginning of time, the blue and red money authorities play a non-cooperative game. Their objective is to choose the largest value of μ_i possible, subject to the constraint that their money circulates in the economy (i.e. agents use it in order to buy c_1).⁴ Describe the set of Nash equilibria for this game.

⁴ Although the model here is dynamic, for simplicity assume that the monetary authorities play this game just once in $t = 0$. Whatever μ_i they choose will stick around forever.