

# Choice Under Uncertainty

- So far, all decisions have taken place in a world of certainty
  - consumers know for sure the utility they will receive given a choice of goods
  - firms know for sure the profit they will receive from a chosen set of inputs
- This does not describe the real world
  - technological uncertainty
  - market uncertainty
- Many issues cannot be addressed without considering uncertainty eg. stock market, insurance, futures markets (investment and savings decisions)

- The next few lectures will proceed as follows
  - attitudes towards risk
  - uncertainty in the insurance market

# Attitudes towards Risk

- Consider a representative agent model in which there is a single good (income)
- Secondly, assume that there are only two states of the world (state 1 and state 2)
  - Let  $y_1$  denote income in state 1 and  $y_2$  income in state 2
  - Let  $\pi$  denote the probability of state 1 so that  $1 - \pi$  is the probability of state 2
- Given this, the expected value of income is

$$\bar{y} = \pi y_1 + (1 - \pi)y_2$$

- the weighted average level of income received by the individual over the two states of the world

- Utility of the expected value is

$$u(\bar{y}) = u(\pi y_1 + (1 - \pi)y_2)$$

- ■ the utility received by the individual from the weighted average level of income  $\bar{y}$

- Expected utility is given by

$$\bar{u} = \pi u(y_1) + (1 - \pi)u(y_2)$$

- ■ the utility received by the individual from fluctuating income levels across the two states of the world

# Risk Aversion

- An individual is risk averse if

$$u(\bar{y}) > \bar{u}$$

- He/she prefers to have a constant amount of income rather than fluctuating amounts of a low income in state 1 of the world and a high income in state 2 of the world
  - averages are preferred to extremes
- An alternative definition uses the **certainty equivalent level of income** denoted by  $y_c$
- This level of income must satisfy the following condition

$$u(y_c) = \bar{u}$$

- $y_c$  must be such that the utility received from getting  $y_c$  equals the utility from facing the gamble (expected utility)

- In other words,  $y_c$  is the level of income at which the individual is indifferent between getting that level of income for certain and facing fluctuating incomes levels
- This certainty equivalent level of income therefore gives us a notion of the value of the gamble to the individual. If the individual is risk averse

$$\bar{y} > y_c$$

- that is, the individual values the gamble at less than the expected value

## Risk Neutral

- An individual is risk neutral if he/she values the prospect at its' expected value

$$\bar{y} = y_c$$

or

$$u(\pi y_1 + (1 - \pi)y_2) = \pi u(y_1) + (1 - \pi)u(y_2)$$

## Risk Loving

- An individual is loving if he/she values the prospect at more than its' expected value

$$y_c > \bar{y}$$

or

$$\pi u(y_1) + (1 - \pi)u(y_2) > u(\pi y_1 + (1 - \pi)y_2)$$

# The Risk Premium

- Consider figure 1 and recall that  $y_c$  satisfies  $u(y_c) = \bar{u}$
- In figure 1, the individual is willing to give up income  $cd$  rather than face the gamble with expected income  $\bar{y}$

$$r = \bar{y} - y_c > 0$$

- If the individual is risk loving, the individual would pay to be able to face the gamble

$$r = \bar{y} - y_c > 0$$

# Measures of Risk Aversion

- How risk averse is a particular individual ?
- The more concave the utility function, the more risk averse the individual

- Formally, risk aversion can be measured by

$$A(y) = -\frac{u''(y)}{u'(y)}$$

This is the Arrow-Pratt coefficient of absolute risk aversion

- If the individual is risk averse,  $u''(y) < 0$  which implies  $A(y) > 0$
- $A(y)$  may increase, decrease or remain constant as  $y$  increases
  - If the risk premium is decreasing (increasing) in wealth, the consumer has decreasing (increasing) risk aversion
  - If the risk premium is constant in wealth, the consumer has constant risk aversion

# Insurance Under Uncertainty

- Consider a risk averse individual and suppose that there are two states of the world : state 1 and state 2
  - state 1 - initial income is  $y$
  - state 2 - income is  $y - L$
- The consumer can insure against the loss (cannot affect the loss or the probability of the loss  $\Rightarrow$  moral hazard issue)
- The insurance company sells insurance at a premium rate  $p$  ( $0 < p < 1$ ). Let  $q$  denote the amount of insurance cover purchased by the consumer

$$y_1 = y - pq$$

$$y_2 = y - L - pq + q$$

- Let  $\pi_1$  denote the probability of state 1 and let  $\pi_2$  denote the probability of state 2

$$\bar{y} = \pi_1(y - pq) + \pi_2(y - L - pq + q)$$

- Now suppose that the individual maximises expected utility

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_q \pi_1 u(y_1) + \pi_2 u(y_2) \\ & = \max_q \pi_1 u(y - pq) + \pi_2 u(y - L - pq + q) \end{aligned}$$

- Rearranging the first order condition gives the following familiar condition

$$\frac{u'(y_2)\pi_2}{u'(y_1)\pi_1} = \frac{p}{1-p}$$

- An insurance company has an actuarially fair premium ( $p$ ) if it does not alter the insured individual's expected income

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_1(y - pq) + \pi_2(y - L - pq + q) \\ &= \pi_1 y + \pi_2(y - L) \end{aligned}$$

- Hence, the fair premium is  $p = \pi_2$
- In fact, perfect competition implies a fair premium
- Competition in the industry forces the expected profits of the firm to zero

$$\pi_1 pq + \pi_2(pq - q) = 0$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$q(p - \pi_2) = 0$$

## Case 1

- Suppose  $p = \pi_2$  (premium is fair). Then, from the foc, we can write

$$u'(y_1) = u'(y_2)$$

- Since  $u''(y) < 0$ , this implies  $y_1 = y_2$

$$y - pq^* = y - L + (1 - p)q^*$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$L = q^*$$

- the insurer completely insures against the loss