

## 1. CH.1: Introduction

### 1.1. Theory: Posteriors

The key is the **posterior density** - the probability density of parameters given data.

Apply Bayes Rule that  $\Pr[B|A] = \{\Pr[A|B] \times \Pr[B]\} / \Pr[A]$  to the probability of parameter vector  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  (event  $B$ ) given data vector  $\mathbf{y}$  (event  $A$ ):

1. Likelihood function (for data given parameters)  $p(\mathbf{y}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$
2. Prior density (for parameters)  $p(\boldsymbol{\theta})$
3. Posterior density (for parameters given data)  $p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{y}) = p(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \times p(\mathbf{y}|\boldsymbol{\theta})/p(\mathbf{y})$ .

The user inputs 1. (as in ML) and 2. (special to Bayesian) and gets out 3.

The term  $p(\mathbf{y})$  in 3. is a constant that does not depend on  $\theta$ . For some aspects of Bayesian analysis we can ignore the constant  $p(\mathbf{y})$  and simply say

$$p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{y}) \propto p(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \times p(\mathbf{y}|\boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

[Aside: In general if density  $f(x) = kg(x)$  where  $k$  is a constant that does not depend on  $x$  then  $g(x)$  is called the **kernel** of the density. Much Bayesian analysis works with just the density kernel].

The **posterior density**  $p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{y})$  is the starting point for further analysis:

1. Making statements about  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ : e.g. the posterior mean  $E[\boldsymbol{\theta}] = \int \boldsymbol{\theta}p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{y})d\boldsymbol{\theta}$
2. Model selection: e.g. posterior probability for model  $j$   $p(M_j|\mathbf{y})$
3. Prediction of new observation(s)  $\mathbf{y}^*$  given data  $\mathbf{y}$   $p(\mathbf{y}^*|\mathbf{y})$

### 1.2. Computation

Computation is used extensively in Bayesian analysis. One reason is that calculations using the posterior usually involve an integral. Koop begins with this.

Suppose we know the posterior density  $p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{y})$  but not how to calculate a posterior moment  $E[g(\boldsymbol{\theta})]$  such as the posterior mean. To estimate  $E[g(\boldsymbol{\theta})] = \int g(\boldsymbol{\theta})p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{y})d\boldsymbol{\theta}$  make many draws from  $p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{y})$  and for each draw of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  calculate  $g(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  and average. With  $S$  draws

$$\hat{g}^S = \hat{E}[g(\boldsymbol{\theta})] = \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^S g(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(s)}).$$

A second reason for computational methods is when there is no closed form for the posterior but analysis is still possible using modern MCMC methods. This is not introduced until chapter 4 but is the basis for the Bayesian revival.

### 1.3. Exercises

Much of Koop (2003) is about computational methods.

Even so we begin with questions 1 and 2 that are analytical learning exercises in becoming familiar with Bayes theorem. They illustrate that getting the posterior analytically involves tricky math even in these “simple” examples.

Question 1 is easier.

Question 2 is the basis for extension to linear regression in chapter 2.

Question 3 involves computation once we know the posterior.

**1-1** Exponential density and exponential prior, where one way to write the exponential density with parameter  $\lambda$  is  $f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$  where the mean  $E[x] = 1/\lambda$ .

(a) Suppose  $y$  is exponential distributed with parameter  $\theta$  (so  $E[y] = 1/\theta$ ). Let  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$  be data from a random sample of size  $N$ . Show that the likelihood is  $p(\mathbf{y}|\theta) = \theta^N e^{-\theta N \bar{y}}$  where  $\bar{y}$  is the sample mean.

(b) Show that the MLE which minimizes  $\ln f(\mathbf{y}|\theta)$  is  $\hat{\theta} = 1/\bar{y}$ .

(c) Suppose the prior density for  $\theta$  is exponential with parameter 1 (so  $E[\theta] = 1$ ). Show that the posterior density  $p(\theta|\mathbf{y}) \propto \theta^N e^{-\theta(N\bar{y}+1)}$  (where we have ignored  $p(y) = \int \theta^{-N} e^{-\theta(\bar{y}+1)} d\theta$ ).

(d) One way to write a gamma density with parameters  $a$  and  $b$  is  $f(x) \propto x^{a-1} e^{-x/b}$  where the mean  $E[x] = ab$ .

Given this information, state the posterior density in part (c) and show that the posterior mean is  $(N + 1)/(N\bar{y} + 1)$ .

**1-2** Normal density and normal prior for mean.

(a) Suppose  $y$  is normally distributed with mean parameter  $\theta$  and variance 1. Let  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$  be data from a random sample of size  $N$ . Show that the likelihood  $p(\mathbf{y}|\theta) \propto \exp\{-N(\bar{y}-\theta)^2/2\}$  [Hint:  $\sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \theta)^2 = \{\sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \bar{y})^2\} + N(\bar{y} - \theta)^2$ ].

(b) Show that the MLE which minimizes  $\ln f(\mathbf{y}|\theta)$  is  $\hat{\theta} = \bar{y}$ .

(c) Suppose the prior density for  $\theta$  is normal with mean 0 and variance 1. Show that the posterior density  $p(\theta|\mathbf{y}) \propto \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}[N(\bar{y} - \theta)^2 + \theta^2]\}$ .

(d) Show by completing the square that  $N(\bar{y} - \theta)^2 + \theta^2 = (N + 1)(\theta - \frac{N}{N+1}\bar{y})^2 - (N + 1)(\frac{N}{N+1}\bar{y})^2 + N\bar{y}^2$ .

(e) Using the result in part (d) show that the posterior density  $p(\theta|\mathbf{y}) \propto \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}[(N+1)(\theta - \frac{N}{N+1}\bar{y})^2]\}$ . Since this is the kernel of the normal density, give the posterior mean.

(f) Also give the posterior variance. Is it larger or smaller than the variance of the MLE from part (b)?

**1-3** This question uses simulated methods to calculate moments, using  $\hat{E}[g(\boldsymbol{\theta})] = \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^S g(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(s)})$ .

Suppose the posterior density for parameter  $\theta$  is  $N[0, 1]$ .

(a) Calculate the posterior mean of  $\theta$  using  $S = 10, 100, 1000$  and  $10,000$  draws.

(b) Calculate the variance of this simulation estimate when  $S = 100$  (see Koop).

(c) Calculate  $E[\exp(-\exp(x))]$  using  $S = 10, 100, 1000$  and  $10,000$  draws.

(d) Does  $E[\exp(-\exp(x))]$  actually exist? [Hint: This is tricky and can be skipped.]