240D Winter 2011 Solutions to Midterm Exam

1.(a) Here

$$Q_N(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = N^{-1} \sum_i (y_i - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}))^2$$

= $N^{-1} \sum_i \{ [y_i - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)] + [\exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0) - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta})] \}^2$
= $N^{-1} \sum_i \{ [y_i - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)]^2 + 2[y_i - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)] [\exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0) - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta})] + [\exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0) - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta})]^2 \}.$

(b) Now

Q

$$\begin{aligned} p_0(\boldsymbol{\beta}) &= p \lim Q_N(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \\ &= p \lim N^{-1} \sum_i [y_i - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)]^2 \\ &+ 2 p \lim N^{-1} \sum_i [y_i - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)] [\exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0) - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta})] \\ &+ p \lim N^{-1} \sum_i [\exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0) - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta})]^2 \\ &= \lim N^{-1} \sum_i \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}i} [\sigma_{i0}^2] + 0 + \lim N^{-1} \sum_i \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}i} [[\exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0) - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta})]]^2 \end{aligned}$$

where apply a LLN to first term and use $E[[y_i - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i\beta_0)]^2] = E_{\mathbf{x}_i}[[y_i - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i\beta_0)]^2|\mathbf{x}_i] = E_{\mathbf{x}_i}[\nabla[y_i|\mathbf{x}_i]] = E_{\mathbf{x}_i}[\sigma_{i0}^2]$ where second last equality uses $E[y_i|\mathbf{x}_i] = \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i\beta_0)$ and a LLN to the second term using $E[y_i - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i\beta_0)|\mathbf{x}_i] = 0$ since $E[y_i|\mathbf{x}_i] = \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i\beta_0)$.

(c) $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is consistent for $\boldsymbol{\beta}_0$ since by inspection plim $Q_N(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ is clearly minimized at $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\beta}_0$, as then the third term (the only term involving $\boldsymbol{\beta}$) takes the minimum value of zero. Or if you want to do more work in this example: differentiate w.r.t. $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ (not $\boldsymbol{\beta}_0$)

$$\frac{\partial Q_0(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} = \lim N^{-1} \sum_i -2 \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}_i} [\exp(\mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta}) [\exp(\mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta}_0) - \exp(\mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta})]]$$
$$= 0 \text{ when } \boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\beta}_0.$$

(d) Consider the first term: $\lim_{N} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} (y_i - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0))^2$. This is the average $\overline{X}_N = N^{-1} \sum_{i} X_i$ where $X_i = (y_i - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0))^2$. Here X_i is i.i.d. as (\mathbf{x}_i, y_i) are iid - best to use Khinchines Theorem (as then minimal assumptions). This requires $E[X_i] = E[(y_i - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0))^2] = E_{\mathbf{x}i}[\sigma_{i0}^2]$ exists. Similarly for the second and third terms.

(e) No. In (b) the second term will no longer disappear if $E[y_i|\mathbf{x}_i] \neq \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta}_0)$, so $Q_0(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ will not necessarily be maximized at $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \boldsymbol{\beta}_0$. (Also the first term will change and now involve $\boldsymbol{\beta}$.) So $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ will be consistent if the functional form for $E[y_i|\mathbf{x}_i]$ is misspecified.

2.(a) Differentiating
$$Q_N(\beta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i (y_i - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta))^2$$

$$\frac{\partial Q_N}{\partial \beta} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i -2(y_i - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta)) \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) \mathbf{x}_i$$

$$= \frac{-2}{N} \sum_i (y_i - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta)) \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) \mathbf{x}_i.$$

Can assume the result that $\sqrt{N} \left. \frac{\partial Q_N}{\partial \beta} \right|_{\beta_0} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{B}_0]$, where

$$\mathbf{B}_{0} = \operatorname{plim} N \left. \frac{\partial Q_{N}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} \frac{\partial Q_{N}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}'} \right|_{\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}} \\
= \operatorname{plim} N \left(\frac{-2}{N} \sum_{i} (y_{i} - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0})) \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}) \mathbf{x}_{i} \right) \left(\frac{-2}{N} \sum_{i} (y_{i} - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0})) \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}) \mathbf{x}_{i} \right)' \\
= \operatorname{lim} \frac{4}{N} \sum_{i} \operatorname{E} \left[(y_{i} - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}))^{2} (\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}))^{2} \mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{x}'_{i} \right] \text{ using independence and } \operatorname{E} [y_{i} - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}) |\mathbf{x}_{i}] = 0 \\
= \operatorname{lim} \frac{4}{N} \sum_{i} \operatorname{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{i}} [\sigma_{i0}^{2} (\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{i}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}))^{2} \mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{x}'_{i}].$$

(b) We have differentiating $\partial Q_N / \partial \beta$ by parts

$$\frac{\partial^2 Q_N}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta} \partial \boldsymbol{\beta}'} = \frac{2}{N} \sum_i (\exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}))^2 \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}'_i - \frac{2}{N} \sum_i (y_i - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta})) (\exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta})) \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}'_i$$
$$\mathbf{A}_0 = \operatorname{plim} \left. \frac{\partial^2 Q_N}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta} \partial \boldsymbol{\beta}'} \right|_{\boldsymbol{\beta}_0} = \operatorname{lim} \frac{2}{N} \sum_i (\exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0))^2 \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}'_i, \quad \operatorname{since} \, \mathrm{E}[y_i - \exp(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)] \mathbf{x}_i] = 0.$$

(c) Combining and noting that $(\frac{-2}{N})^{-1}(\frac{4}{N})(\frac{-2}{N})^{-1} = (\frac{1}{N})^{-1}(\frac{1}{N})(\frac{1}{N})^{-1}$

$$\sqrt{N(\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)} \xrightarrow{a} \mathcal{N}[\mathbf{0}, \ \mathbf{A}_0^{-1} \mathbf{B}_0 \mathbf{A}_0^{-1}]$$

$$\xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}[\mathbf{0}, \ \left(\lim \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \mathrm{E}[\sigma_{i0}^2 (\exp(\mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta}_0))^2 \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i']\right)^{-1} \left(\lim \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \{\exp(\mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)\}^2 \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i'\right) ()^{-1}].$$

(d) Use $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}^{-1}\widehat{\mathbf{B}}\widehat{\mathbf{A}}^{-1}$ where $\widehat{\mathbf{A}} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i} \exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}'\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}))^{2} (\exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}'\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}))^{2} \mathbf{x}_{i}\mathbf{x}_{i}']$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{B}} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i}(y_{i} - \exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}'\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}))^{2} (\exp(\mathbf{x}_{i}'\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}))^{2} \mathbf{x}_{i}\mathbf{x}_{i}'.$

(e) Reject $H_0: \beta_j = 0$ against $H_a: \beta_j \neq 0$ at level a if |t| > 1.96where $t = \hat{\beta}_j / s_{\hat{\beta}_j}$ where $s_{\hat{\beta}_j}^2$ is the j^{th} diagonal entry in the matrix in (g).

3.(a) A sequence of random variables $\{b_N\}$ converges in probability to b if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, there exists $N^* = N^*(\varepsilon, \delta)$ such that for all $N > N^*$, $\Pr[|b_N - b| < \varepsilon] > 1 - \delta$.

(b) A sequence of random variables $\{b_N\}$ converges in distribution to a random variable *b* if $\lim_{N\to\infty} F_N = F$, at every continuity point of *F*, where F_N is the distribution of b_N , *F* is the distribution of *b*, and convergence is in the usual mathematical sense.

(c) In general it will not, unless b is a constant, rather than a random variable.

(d) Because the statistics we use, such as estimators, involve averages.

(e) Newton Raphson: $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{s+1} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_s = -\left[\partial^2 Q_N(\boldsymbol{\theta})/\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}' \Big|_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_s}\right]^{-1} \times \partial Q_N(\boldsymbol{\theta})/\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \Big|_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_s}$

(f) When y_i equals 1 with probability $\Lambda(\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta})$ and 0 with probability $1 - \Lambda(\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta})$, we can write the density as $f(y_i|\mathbf{x}_i) = \Lambda(\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta})^{y_i}(1 - \Lambda(\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta}))^{1-y_i}$. Then $\ln L = \ln\left(\prod_{i=1}^N f(y_i|\mathbf{x}_i)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^N \ln f(y_i|\mathbf{x}_i) = \sum_{i=1}^N \ln(\Lambda(\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta})^{y_i}(1 - \Lambda(\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta}))^{1-y_i})$ $= \sum_{i=1}^N \{y_i \ln \Lambda(\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta}) + (1 - y_i) \ln(1 - \Lambda(\mathbf{x}'_i\boldsymbol{\beta})).\}$

4. I expected answer to cover both parameter interpretation (ME's) and statistical significance. The coefficients are jointly statistically significant at 5%.

Individually the coefficient of x_2 is statistically significant at 5% but that of x_3 is not.

Here $ME_j = g'(\beta_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3)\beta_j$ where $g'(\cdot) < 0$ so sign of ME is the reverse of the sign of β_j . It follows that $E[y|x_2, x_3]$ decreases when x_2 increases and when x_3 increases.

As $.42/.27 \simeq 1.5$, a one unit change in x_3 has about 1.5 times the effect of a one unit change in x_2 . Aside: Also since standard errors reported were not labelled "robust" might also want to check this.

Overall - question 1 done well. Questions 2-4 not done as well. Some fundamental errors were made at times - so check for my hand-written comments on your answers.

The course grade will be based on a curve from the combined scores of midterm (35%), final (50%) and assignments (15%).

The curve for this exam is only a guide to give you a rough idea of how you are doing.

Scores out of	30	۸	28 and above
75th percentile	28 (83%)	\boldsymbol{A}	zo and above
<i>Four percentile</i>	20 (0070)	A-	22 and above
Median	26.5(76%)		17 1 1
25th monocontile	91 = (6107)	B+	17 and above
25 <i>th</i> percentile	21.3(0170)		