

**240A Cameron Winter 2007**  
**Department of Economics, U.C.-Davis**

**Midterm Exam: February 7**

Compulsory. Closed book. Worth 32% of course grade.  
 Read question carefully so you answer the question.  
 Keep answers as brief as possible.

**Question scores (total 50 points)**

Question	1a	1b	1c	1d	2a	2b	2c	3a	3b	3c	3d	3e	3f	4a	4b	5a	5b	5c	6a	6b	6c
Points	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	4	2	4	4

**1.** A sample of size four has  $(x, y)$  equal to  $(2, 4)$ ,  $(0, 0)$ ,  $(2, 2)$ , and  $(0, 2)$ . We wish to estimate the model  $y = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + u$ . We assume  $u \sim \mathcal{N}[0, \sigma^2]$  and independent.

- (a) Using the matrix algebra formula for the OLS estimator, compute the OLS coefficients  $\hat{\beta}_1$  and  $\hat{\beta}_2$  for these data.
- (b) Compute the standard error for  $\hat{\beta}_2$  for these data.
- (c) Compute  $R^2$  for these data, using any method you like (matrix algebra is not required).
- (d)(i) Give the Stata command(s) that you would use to manually read the data for this example into Stata.
- (ii) Give the Stata command that you would use to compute  $\hat{\beta}_1$  and  $\hat{\beta}_2$ .

**2.** Consider the multiple regression model

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{Z}\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \mathbf{v},$$

where  $\mathbf{y}$  is an  $N \times 1$  vector,  $\mathbf{Z}$  is an  $N \times k$  matrix of constants,  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$  is a  $k \times 1$  vector and  $\mathbf{v}$  is an  $N \times 1$  vector with  $\mathbf{v} \sim \mathcal{N}[0, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}]$ .

Consider the estimator  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$  that minimizes

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) = \mathbf{v}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v},$$

for nonsingular symmetric positive definite constant matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ .

- (a) Show that  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} = (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}$ .
- (b) Derive the variance of  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$ .
- (c) Derive  $E[\tilde{\mathbf{v}}\tilde{\mathbf{v}}']$ , where  $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Z}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$  and state whether  $E[\tilde{\mathbf{v}}\tilde{\mathbf{v}}'] = \sigma^2\mathbf{I}$ .

3. Consider the estimator

$$\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{y}$$

in the multiple regression model

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \mathbf{u},$$

where  $\mathbf{y}$  is an  $N \times 1$  vector,  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Z}$  are  $N \times k$  matrices,  $\beta$  is a  $k \times 1$  vector and  $\mathbf{u}$  is an  $N \times 1$  vector.

We assume that the regressors  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Z}$  are random with components  $\mathbf{x}_i$  and  $\mathbf{z}_i$  that are iid.

We assume that the error components  $u_i$  are iid with mean 0 and variance  $\sigma^2$  and that  $\mathbf{u}$  is independent of  $\mathbf{X}$  or  $\mathbf{Z}$ .

For parts (a)-(d) there is no need to explicitly use laws of large numbers and central limit theorems. Instead state explicitly any additional assumptions that you have made.

(a) Show whether or not  $\hat{\beta}$  is unbiased for  $\beta$ .

Your answer here should account for  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Z}$  being stochastic.

(b) Show whether or not  $\hat{\beta}$  is consistent for  $\beta$ .

(c) Obtain the limit distribution of  $\sqrt{N}(\hat{\beta} - \beta)$ .

(d) Give the asymptotic distribution for  $\hat{\beta}$ .

Your answer should depend only on  $\sigma^2$ ,  $\mathbf{Z}$  and  $\mathbf{X}$ .

(e) Suppose we have the same setup as above, except now  $k = 1$  so there is just one regressor.

Apply a law of large numbers to obtain the probability limit of  $N^{-1} \sum_i z_i u_i$ .

Provide as much detail as possible, including verifying that a LLN applies.

(f) Suppose we have the same setup as above, except now  $k = 1$  so there is just one regressor.

Apply a central limit theorem to obtain the limit distribution of  $N^{-1/2} \sum_i z_i u_i$ .

Provide as much detail as possible, including verifying that a CLT applies.

4. Consider testing  $q$  linear restrictions of the form

$$H_0 : \mathbf{R}\beta = \mathbf{r}$$

in the linear regression model.

(a) Suppose we have a model with four regressors (the first of which is an intercept). Give  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $\mathbf{r}$  in each of the following situations

(i)  $H_0 : \beta_2 = \beta_3 + 2$ .

(i)  $H_0 : \beta_2 = 0, \beta_3 = 0, \beta_4 = 0$ .

(b) Suppose we have an estimator  $\tilde{\beta} \stackrel{a}{\sim} \mathcal{N}[\beta, \mathbf{V}]$ .

Derive the chisquared form of the Wald test statistic of  $H_0 : \mathbf{R}\beta = \mathbf{r}$ .

5. Consider the following Stata input and output

```
program simols, rclass
  drop _all
  set obs 5
  gen x = 1 + 2*invnorm(uniform())
  gen y = 1 + 2*x + (invnorm(uniform()))^2 - 1
  regress y x
  return scalar theta =_b[x]
end
simulate "simols" theta=r(theta), reps(1000)
summarize, detail
```

with results

```
. summarize, detail
```

```

                                     r(theta)
-----+-----
      Percentiles      Smallest
  1%      .3500591      -.1988292
  5%      1.289173      -.1873094
 10%      1.534989      -.0204161      Obs              1000
 25%      1.823596      .0668301      Sum of Wgt.        1000

 50%      2.006446
                                     Mean              2.016591
                                     Std. Dev.         .6211563
 75%      2.177897      Largest
 90%      2.460031      4.594079
 95%      2.763496      4.769485      Variance          .3858351
 99%      3.622856      13.72522      Skewness          6.850154
                                     Kurtosis          130.4659
```

(a) Give the data generating process in as much detail as possible.

(b) Does the simulation setup correspond to regressors fixed in repeated samples or does it correspond to random sampling of both  $y$  and  $x$ ? Explain. [This is tricky. You need to think hard about this.]

(c) Are the simulation results what you expect? Explain in as much detail as possible.

6. Consider the attached Stata output that uses data on family income and food expenditure from the 1998 Consumer Expenditure Survey.

**Dependent Variable**

Food = Annual family expenditure on food in 1998 in dollars.

**Regressors**

Income = Annual family income in 1998 in dollars.

Incomesq = Square of Income.

Famsize = number of members in family (averaged over year so can be non-integer)

Drural = 1 if live in rural (non-urban) area and 0 if urban

- (a) Are the regressors jointly statistically significant at level 0.05? Explain.
- (b) Does food expenditure appear to be linear in income? Perform **two** appropriate statistical significance tests at level 0.05.
- (c) Test at level 0.05 the claim that one more family member is associated with a \$700 increase in food expenditures. State clearly details of the test and your conclusion.  
[ASIDE: I had meant to ask this as a one-sided test question.]

```
. summarize food income incomesq famsize drural
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
food	700	4897.343	2855.653	585	20765
income	700	41118.78	33096.46	150	192123.1
incomesq	700	2.78e+09	4.69e+09	22500	3.69e+10
famsize	700	2.469429	1.414257	1	9
drural	700	.1285714	.3349643	0	1

```
. regress food income incomesq famsize drural
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	700
Model	2.4890e+09	4	622261100	F( 4, 695) =	134.68
Residual	3.2111e+09	695	4620327.67	Prob > F =	0.0000
				R-squared =	0.4367
				Adj R-squared =	0.4334
Total	5.7002e+09	699	8154752.7	Root MSE =	2149.5

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
food					
income	.0425326	.0071027	5.99	0.000	.0285873 .0564779
incomesq	-5.47e-08	4.87e-08	-1.12	0.262	-1.50e-07 4.09e-08
famsize	805.701	61.51642	13.10	0.000	684.9207 926.4813
drural	-14.3438	246.752	-0.06	0.954	-498.8126 470.125
_cons	1313.055	212.3991	6.18	0.000	896.0338 1730.076