

SPRING 2024 - FIRST MIDTERM EXAM: **ANSWERS for VERSION 1**

- 1.** (a) (a.1) For  $x < 3$ . (a.2) The strictly dominant strategy is C.  
 (b) (b.1) For  $x = 3$ . (b.2) The weakly dominant strategy is C.  
 (c) For no values (if  $y \leq 2$  G weakly dominates H; however, G does not dominate F).  
 (d) • for any  $x$ , if  $y > 2$  then (C,H) is the only pure-strategy Nash equilibrium. Odd.  
 • if  $x > 3$  and  $y < 2$  then (C,G) is the only pure-strategy Nash equilibrium. Odd.  
 • if  $x \leq 3$  and  $y = 2$ , then there are 3 pure-strategy Nash equilibria: (C,F), (C,G) and (C,H). Odd.  
 (e) •  $x \leq 3$  and  $y < 2$ , then there are two Nash equilibria: (C,F) and (C,G). Even.  
 •  $x > 3$  and  $y = 2$ , then there are two Nash equilibria: (C,G) and (C,H). Even.

To answer (f) and (g) first note that, for every pair of values of  $x$  and  $y$ , in the first round of elimination strategies A, B and E of Player 1 are among those that get eliminated.

If  $y > 2$  then H cannot be deleted in the first round, while if  $y \leq 2$  H can be deleted in the first round.

If  $x > 3$  then D cannot be deleted in the first round, while if  $x \leq 3$  D can be deleted in the first round. Thus:

- If  $x > 3$  and  $y > 2$  then in the first round delete A, B and E, in the second round delete F and G and in the third round delete D. Left with (C,H).
- If  $x \leq 3$  and  $y > 2$  then in the first round delete A, B, D and E and in the second round delete F and G. Left with (C,H).
- If  $x > 3$  and  $y \leq 2$  then in the first round delete A, B, E and H, in the second round delete F and in the third round delete D. Left with (C,G).
- If  $x \leq 3$  and  $y \leq 2$  then in the first round delete A, B, D, E and H and then no more deletions are possible. Left with (C,F) and (C,G).

Hence: (f) For  $x \leq 3$  and  $y \leq 2$ . (g) For  $x > 3$  and any value of  $y$ , or for  $x \leq 3$  and  $y > 2$ .

- 2.** (a) Since  $(a, (c, e))$  and  $(b, (c, e))$  are both backward-induction solutions, it must be that Player 1 is indifferent between  $z_1$  and  $z_3$ . Since  $(b, (c, f))$  is a backward-induction solution but  $(a, (c, f))$  is not, it must be that Player 1 prefers  $z_4$  to  $z_1$ . Thus there are only two inferences that we can make about Player 1's preferences: (1)  $z_1 \sim_1 z_3$  and (2)  $z_4 \succ_1 z_1$  (and thus, by transitivity, also  $z_4 \succ_1 z_3$ )  
 (b) Since both  $e$  and  $f$  are part of a backward-induction solution, it must be that Player 2 is indifferent between  $z_3$  and  $z_4$ . Since  $c$  is part of a backward-induction solution but  $d$  is not, it must be that Player 2 prefers  $z_1$  to  $z_2$ . Thus there are only two inferences that we can make about Player 2's preferences: (1)  $z_3 \sim_2 z_4$  and (2)  $z_1 \succ_2 z_2$ .

(c) There are five possibilities, depending on where  $z_2$  appears in the ranking:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{best} & z_2 \\ & z_4 \\ \text{worst} & z_1, z_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \text{best} & z_2, z_4 \\ & z_1, z_3 \\ \text{worst} & z_1, z_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \text{best} & z_4 \\ & z_2 \\ \text{worst} & z_1, z_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \text{best} & z_4 \\ & z_1, z_2, z_3 \\ \text{worst} & z_1, z_2, z_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \text{best} & z_4 \\ & z_1, z_3 \\ \text{worst} & z_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

2. (a) and (b) The strategic form is as follows. The Nash equilibria are highlighted.

		Player 2			
		b1 c1	b1 c2	b2 c1	b2 c2
Player 1	a1 d1	2 1	2 1	0 0	0 0
	a1 d2	2 1	2 1	0 0	0 0
	a2 d1	1 4	2 2	1 4	2 2
	a2 d2	1 4	1 6	1 4	1 6

(c) There is a unique backward induction solution given by  $((a_1, d_1), (b_1, c_1))$ .

3. (A,f), (A,g), (B,f), (B,g)