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1. [20 points] Bill prefers more money to less and is risk neutral. He faces the following money lotteries:

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\$ 20 & \$ 140 & \$ 160 \\
\frac{2}{5} & \frac{2}{5} & \frac{1}{5}
\end{array}\right), \quad B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\$ 40 & \$ 120 \\
p & 1-p
\end{array}\right) \text { and } C=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\$ 60 & \$ 80 & \$ x \\
\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{2}{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

(a) [6 points] He says that he is indifferent between $A$ and $B$. What can you infer about the value of $p$ ?
(b) [6 points] Bill says that he prefers $A$ to $C$. What can you infer about the value of $x$ ?
(c) [3 points] If given a choice between lottery $A$ and $\$ 95$ for sure, what will Bill choose?
(d) [5 points] Amy also prefers more money to less and her preferences are transitive. She says that if she had a choice between $\$ 95$ for sure and lottery $A$, she would prefer $\$ 95$ for sure. What is her attitude to risk relative to lottery $A$ ? Explain your answer.
2. [42 points] Consider the following decision problem:

(a) Suppose that the agent's ranking is:

$$
z_{7} \succ z_{2} \sim z_{6} \sim z_{12} \succ z_{5} \sim z_{8} \succ z_{1} \sim z_{10} \sim z_{11} \succ z_{3} \succ z_{4} \sim z_{9}
$$

(a.1) [12 points] For each pair of actions state whether one action dominates the other. If your claim is that there is no dominance, then explain why and if your claim is that there is dominance then state whether it is strict or weak dominance (with the understanding that if you say "weak" then you mean "weak and not strict"). [More space on the next page]

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | $S_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $z_{1}$ | $z_{2}$ | $z_{3}$ | $z_{4}$ |
| $b$ | $z_{5}$ | $z_{6}$ | $z_{7}$ | $z_{8}$ |
| $c$ | $z_{9}$ | $z_{10}$ | $z_{11}$ | $z_{12}$ |

(a.2) [4 points] Find the Maximin solution.
(b) Suppose now that the agent's ranking is:

$$
z_{9} \succ z_{2} \sim z_{4} \sim z_{8} \succ z_{5} \sim z_{6} \succ z_{1} \sim z_{3} \sim z_{12} \succ z_{10} \succ z_{7} \sim z_{11}
$$

(b.1) [12 points] For each pair of actions state whether one action dominates the other. If your claim is that there is no dominance, then explain why and if your claim is that there is dominance then state whether it is strict or weak dominance (with the understanding that if you say "weak" then you mean "weak and not strict").
(b.2) [4 points] Find the Maximin solution.

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $s_{3}$ | $S_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $z_{1}$ | $z_{2}$ | $z_{3}$ | $z_{4}$ |
| $b$ | $z_{5}$ | $z_{6}$ | $z_{7}$ | $z_{8}$ |
| $c$ | $z_{9}$ | $z_{10}$ | $z_{11}$ | $z_{12}$ |

(c) Suppose now that the agent's ranking is

$$
z_{1} \succ z_{2} \succ z_{3} \succ z_{4} \succ z_{5} \succ z_{6} \succ z_{7} \succ z_{8} \succ z_{9} \succ z_{10} \succ z_{11} \succ z_{12}
$$

(c.1) [8 points] For each pair of actions state whether one action dominates the other. If your claim is that there is no dominance, then explain why and if your claim is that there is dominance then state whether it is strict or weak dominance (with the understanding that if you say "weak" then you mean "weak and not strict").
(c.2) [2 points] Find the Maximin solution.
3. [24 points] Find the backward-induction solution of the decision tree on the following page for a decision-maker who is risk neutral.

Assume that $p=\frac{2}{5}, s=\frac{1}{2}, t=\frac{3}{5}, q=\frac{1}{3}$

4. [14 points] Consider the following preference relation $R$ over the set $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ (as usual, the interpretation of $(x, y) \in R$ is that $x$ is considered to be at least as good as $y$; to simplify we omit the reflexive pairs of the form ( $x, x$ ) which should be taken to be included in $R$ ):

$$
R=\{(b, a),(b, d),(b, e),(c, a),(c, b),(c, d),(c, e),(d, a),(e, a),(e, b),(e, d)\}
$$

(a) [2 points] Check one of the two boxes: $\square R$ is complete, $\quad \square R$ is not complete.
(b) [2 points] Check one of the two boxes: $\square R$ is transitive, $\quad \square R$ is not transitive.
(c) [10 points] Represent $R$ by means of a utility function that takes on integer values which are consecutive and start at 0 .

