ECN 103 Final Exam

Thursday, March 21, 6:00-8:00 pm in this room (Storer 1322)

• Four questions. Emphasis (at least two questions) on the material after the third Midterm (Chapters 9, 10 and 11).

- What you can skip:
- Chapter 3: No need to memorize the axioms of expected utility (Section 3.2)
- Chapter 5: Choosing from a continuum of options (Section 5.3.2) and Mutual insurance (Section 5.4)
- Chapter 6: Edgeworth box when the parties have positive initialwealth (Section 6.5)
- ► Chapter 8: Perfectly competitive industry (Section 8.4)
- Chapter 9: A more general analysis (Section 9.5) and Signaling in other markets (Section 9.6)
- Chapter 11: The case with more than two outcomes (Section11.4).

1. Expected utility / expected value

• What is the expected value of the following lottery? $L = \begin{pmatrix} \$100 & \$200 & \$400 \\ \frac{1}{10} & \frac{3}{10} & \frac{6}{10} \end{pmatrix}$





best Z3 Z1 worst Z2 1 Consider the following outcomes: a z_1 = Congress introduces a new immigration bill, 0 $z_2 =$ no new bill is introduced z_3 = Congress introduces an improved health care bill a>0.5 Suppose that the President prefers z_1 to the lottery $\begin{pmatrix} z_2 & z_3 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. Is he risk averse or risk neutral? Weavinghess question $EV = \frac{1}{2}0 + \frac{1}{2}I = 0.5$ Suppose that the President's ranking of the three outcomes is $\begin{pmatrix} best & z_3 \\ & z_1 \\ worst & z_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and he is indifferent between z_1 and the lottery $\begin{pmatrix} z_2 & z_3 \\ \hline \frac{1}{4} & \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{4} \\ \hline \frac{3}{4} \end{pmatrix}$. What is the President's normalized von 22 Neumann-Morgenstern utility function? $\frac{2}{4} = 0.75$ 2, 0 $\begin{pmatrix} \$0 & \$100 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$ versus $\begin{pmatrix} \$49 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ Is it possible for a risk-averse individual to be indifferent between two lotteries L and L'despite the fact that the expected value of L' is greater than the expected value of L? $R_{A}(m) = -\frac{U''(m)}{11''(m)}$ What is the Arrow-Pratt measure of risk aversion $R_A(m)$? Suppose that $U(m) = \ln(m)$. What is $R_A(10)$? $R_{A}(10) = -\frac{1}{10^{2}} = \frac{1}{10}$ Ulmi = ly (m) $V'(w) = \frac{1}{w} = w^{-1}$ Page 3 of 12

EV(L) = 17 EV(L') = 20

$$\begin{pmatrix} \$19\\ 1 \end{pmatrix} > \begin{pmatrix} \$9 & \$25\\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

• Risk premium

Suppose that $U(m) = \sqrt{m}$, $L = \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 25 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$ and $L' = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 36 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$. What is the risk premium of

lottery L? and the premium of lottery L'? [Assume zero initial wealth.]

$$R_{L} = \text{that value of } R \text{ such that } \begin{pmatrix} \$(17-R) \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \sim L$$

Solution to $\sqrt{17-R} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{9} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{25} = 4$

$$R = 1$$

$$R_{L} = 1$$

$$R = 4$$

• Certainty equivalent. Suppose that $U(m) = \sqrt{m}$, $L = \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 25 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$ and $L' = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 36 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$. What is the

certainty equivalent of lottery L? and the certainty equivalent of lottery L'?

$$C_{L} = EV(L) - R_{L} = 17 - 1 = \$16$$

 $C_{L'} = EV(L') - R_{L'} = 20 - 4 = \16

2. Stochastic dominance

For what values of p and q would a risk-averse individual prefer A to B, while a risk-neutral individual would be indifferent between A and B?

Mean-preserving sprend
(1)
$$EV(A) = EV(B)$$

$$\frac{3}{5}16 + \frac{1}{5}25 = 12p + \frac{1}{5}16 + 25q$$
(2) $P+q = \frac{3}{5}$
Solution: $p = \frac{18}{65}$
 $q = \frac{21}{65}$

3. Insurance

- An individual has an initial wealth of \$360,000, faces a potential loss of \$90,000 with probability $\frac{1}{100}$ and her von Neumann-Morgenstern utility-of-money function is $U(m) = \sqrt{m}$. Suppose that she is offered a contract, call it contract A, with premium \$5,975 and deductible \$17,625. (a) Represent contract A in terms of wealth levels. A = (360000 - 5975 - 17625)
 - (b) Calculate the slope of the indifference curve through A at point A.
 - 36000-5975) (c) Calculate the expected profit from contract A.

 $A = \left(W_{i}^{A}, W_{2}^{A} \right)$ $\Rightarrow - \frac{P}{I-P} \frac{U'(W_{i}^{A})}{U'(W_{2}^{A})}$ $\pi = h - p(L - d)$ = 5,975 - $\frac{1}{100} (90,000 - 17,625)$ $\pi = h - p(L - d)$

• Two types of customers, *H* and *L*. Same initial wealth of \$360,000, Same potential loss of \$90,000 and Same utility function U(m) with U''(m) < 0. The probability of loss is $\frac{5}{100}$ for



(a) The insurance industry is a monopoly and it offers contracts *A* and *B* shown above. Contract *A* has a deductible of \$15,000 and a premium of \$800. Contract *B* has a premium of \$4,550. Calculate expected profits if there are **1,500** *H* **people and 1,000** *L* **people**.

toral II = 1500 [hB - PH (L-dB)] + 1000 [hA - P, (L-dA)]

(b) What would the monopolist's profits be if it offered only contract B?

Ouly H prople buy

$$\overline{\Pi} = 1500 - \left[h_{B} - P_{H}L\right]$$

4. Pareto efficient risk-sharing

- Ann and Bob have started a business together. With probability $2 \atop 5$ the profits will be \$8,000 while with probability $3 \atop 5$ the profits will be \$5,000. They have agreed that they will split the profits equally (each will get 50%). Ann's von Neumann-Morgenstern utility-of-money function is $U(m) = \sqrt{m}$. Bob's von Neumann-Morgenstern utility-of-money function is $V(m) = \ln(m)$.
 - (a) Represent their agreement as a point in an Edgeworth box.
 - (b) Show that their agreement is not Pareto efficient
 - (c) If you were to propose an alternative agreement that Pareto dominated their initial agreement, how would you modify the initial agreement?



5. Signaling

Group I: productivity 20+2y, Group II: productivity 25 + 3y, Group III: 40 + y. The cost of y units of education is 12y for Group I, 5y for Group II and 4y for Group III. The potential employer believes that those applicants with education less than a belong to Group I, those with education at least a but less than b belong to Group II and those with education at least b belong to Group III and offers each applicant a wage equal to the applicant's estimated productivity, given the applicant's level of education. Nobody can choose a level of education below ŷ.

Inequalities that are necessary and sufficient for the existence of a signaling equilibrium.

For Group I: $20+2\hat{y}-12\hat{y} \ge 25+3a-12a$ $20+2\hat{y}-12\hat{y} \ge 40+b-12b$ For Group II: $25+3a-5a \ge 20+2\hat{y}-5\hat{y}$ $25+3a-5a \ge 40+b-5b$ For Group III: $40+b-4b \ge 20+2\hat{y}-4\hat{y}$ $40+b-4b \ge 25+3a-4a$

7. Principal-Agent with moral hazard

 $X_1 = 400$ and $X_2 = 900$ $e_L = 0$ and $e_H = 3$ $U_P(\$m) = m$ $U_A(m,e) = \sqrt{m} - e$

probability of $X_1 = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{5} & \text{if } e = 0\\ \frac{1}{5} & \text{if } e = 3 \end{cases}$

Find a Pareto efficient contract that gives utility 12 to the Agent.

One candidate is the contract $\hat{D} = (144, 144)$ (the Agent chooses e = 0 and her utility is $\sqrt{144} - 0 = 12$.

The other candidate is the contract $C = (w_1^C, w_2^C)$ that lies on the two indifference curves of the Agent corresponding to a utility level of 12.

• To be on the LOW-effort indifference curve, contract *C* must satisfy:

 $\frac{3}{5}\sqrt{w_1} + \frac{2}{5}\sqrt{w_2} = 12$

• To be on the HIGH-effort indifference curve, contract *C* must satisfy:

 $\frac{1}{5}(\sqrt{w_1} - 3) + \frac{4}{5}(\sqrt{w_2} - 3) = 12$ The solution is: $w_1^c = \text{ and } w_2^c =$ $w_1^c = 81$ $w_2^c = 272.25$

Which of the two contracts does the Principal prefer?

• With contract $\hat{D} = (144, 144)$ the Principal's expected utility is

$$\frac{3}{5}(400-144) + \frac{2}{5}(900-144) = 456$$

• With contract c = (,) the Principal's expected utility is

$$\frac{1}{5}(400-81) + \frac{4}{5}(900 - 272.25) = 566$$

Thus the Principal prefers C to \hat{D} and C is the Pareto efficient contract that gives utility 12 to the Agent.